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ABSTRACT
In the present study, carboxylated polysulfone (CPS) and Poly (1,4-phenylene ether ether-sulfone) (PPEES) NF membranes for their application in proton exchange membrane fuel cells (PEMFCs) were investigated. New NF membranes were prepared by blending PPEES with CPS solution in NMP (5 wt. %) by the solution casting procedure using K-control coater. The membranes have been characterized by thermal analysis, water uptake, IEC measurements, proton conductivity performance, and methanol crossover. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was at length used to decide proton conductivity. The addition of CPS increased the water uptake capability of the synthesized membrane and resulted in enhanced proton conductivity. The conductivity values in the range of $10^{-5}$ to $10^{-3}$ S/cm were obtained for the new CPS-PPEES membranes. The conductivities of the membranes showed an increasing trend as a function of operating temperature and wt. % of CPS. Membrane of the type CM1 gave the conductivity in the range of 0.12 S/cm at 100°C. The results showed that, CPS/PPEES is a promising membrane material for possible use in proton exchange membrane fuel cells.
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1.0 INTRODUCTION
A fuel cell is an electrochemical device that converts the chemical energy of fuels directly into electrical energy to provide a clean and highly efficient source of electrical energy. The six basic types of fuel cells are classified by the electrolyte that they employ. Low temperature types include the alkaline fuel cell (AFC), direct methanol fuel cell (DMFC) and proton exchange membrane fuel cell (PEMFC). The three high temperature types are the phosphoric acid fuel cell (PAFC), molten carbonate fuel cell (MCFC) and solid oxide fuel cell (SOFC) [1]. It is proved that, various types of membranes can be effectively used as proton exchange membranes in fuel cell technology because of its simplicity, viability, pollution free operation and quick start up [2]. The most commonly used proton exchange membrane is Nafion, which possess inherent chemical, thermal stability, oxidative stability and high proton conductivity [3]. High temperature application of a proton exchange membrane fuel cell (PEMFC) can be obtained from polymers with high glass transition temperatures such as polybenzimidazole [4].

Different types of membranes have been tested for use in PEM fuel cells. The membranes are...
usually, polymers modified to include ions, such as sulfonic groups, carboxylic groups etc. These hydrophilic ionic moieties are the key for allowing proton transport across the membrane. The favored polymer structure has changed to improve membrane lifetime and slow down membrane degradation [5]. As Nafion has limited operation at temperatures up to 80°C, since it functions only under highly hydrated state. So different approaches have been studied by research groups for the development of alternate membranes for PEM fuel cells. Sulfonated polyethersulphone (PES) or polyetheretherketone (SPEEK) [6], sulfonated polysulfone / titanium dioxide composite membranes [7] and phosphoric acid doped polybenzimidazole membranes [8] are the new focuses of the field.

Present study describes preparation of polysulfone and modified polysulfone composite membranes. Newly prepared were membranes further characterized for its water uptake, swelling and ion exchange capacity. Increased hydrophilicity of the CPS-PPEES membranes resulted in increase of swelling rate and also in proton conductivity value. Moreover its reduced methanol crossover value makes it suitable material for PEMFC.

2.0 EXPERIMENTAL

2.1 Materials and Method

Polysulfone (PS) having molecular weight of 35,000, Poly (1,4-phenylene ether ether-sulfone), (PPEES) were obtained from Sigma Aldrich in the form of semitransparent beads. Required CPS was synthesized as per the procedure reported in the literature [9-11]. Reagent grade N-methyl pyrrolidone, NMP was obtained from Merck-India and was used without any further purification. All analytical grade chemical reagents used in the experiment were purchased from Merck India Ltd. For casting the membranes, K-Control coater purchased from UK was used. IR spectra were recorded using Nicolet Avatar 5700 FTIR (Thermo Corporation) spectrometer. Impedance study was done using AUTOLAB PGSTAT30 instrument. SEM images of the cross section of the newly prepared membranes were made with a Joel JSM-84. DSC study was carried out on a Shimadzu DSC 60 instrument, Japan.

Prepared membranes were studied for water uptake, swelling and ion exchange capacity experiments. To find the application for direct methanol fuel cells these synthesized membranes were subjected to proton conductivity and methanol crossover experiments.

2.2 Synthesis of PS-PPEES NF Membrane

Solutions containing different wt. % of PS and PPEES (Table 1) in 4.5 mL of 1-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) were prepared. The solution was stirred for 24 hours at 75°C for completion of dissolution. Further stirring was stopped for 30 minutes and obtained viscous solution was casted using K-Control coater. Casted membrane was again heat-treated for 45 seconds at 220°C. The membranes were separated by dipping the glass plates in distilled water. Further separated membranes were dipped in distilled water for another 24 hours; these membranes were washed several times with distilled water before storage [12].

Table 1 Solutions containing different wt.% of PS and PPEES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membrane Code</th>
<th>Wt.% composition (PS)</th>
<th>Wt.% composition (PPEES)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3 Synthesis of Carboxylated Polysulfone (CPS)-PPEES NF Membrane

Solutions containing different wt. % of CPS and PPEES (Table 2) in 4.5 mL of 1-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) were prepared by mild stirring for one day at constant temperature of 75°C. Obtained viscous solution was casted over glass plate using K-Control coater. Casted membrane
New Composite Membrane of Carboxylated Polysulfone (CPS) was again heat-treated for 45 seconds at 220°C, then washed thoroughly with deionized water and immersed in de-ionized water for 24 h. Figure 1 shows the schematic route for the synthesis of CPS-PPEES membranes [12].

Table 2: Solutions containing different wt.% of CPS and PPEES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membrane Code</th>
<th>Wt.% composition (CPS)</th>
<th>Wt.% composition (PPEES)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CM1</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM3</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM4</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The weight of the dry membrane ($W_{dry}$) was determined after drying. The percentage of water uptake was calculated by using the following equation [13]:

\[
\text{Water uptake (gel)} = \frac{W_{wet} - W_{dry}}{W_{dry}} \times 100
\]

2.6 Swelling Studies

The surface swelling characteristics were determined by measuring the change of the membrane geometrical area upon equilibrating the membranes in water at room temperature for 2 hrs. The swelling ratio was calculated by the following equation [13].

\[
\text{Swelling (gel)} = \frac{A_{wet} - A_{dry}}{A_{dry}} \times 100
\]

where, $A_{dry}$ and $A_{wet}$ are the area of dry and wet samples, respectively.

2.7 Ion-exchange Capacity (IEC)

The ion exchange capacity of the membranes was determined through an acid–base titration. The dry composite membrane was immersed in 50 mL of 1 M sodium chloride aqueous solution for 24 h in order to extract all protons from the membrane. After taking out the membrane, electrolyte solution was titrated with 5 mM sodium hydroxide solution using phenolphthalein as an indicator. The ion exchange capacity (IEC) was calculated using the following equation:

\[
\text{IEC} = \frac{V \cdot M}{W_{dry}}
\]

where IEC is the ion exchange capacity (m equiv. g-1), $V$ the added titrant volume at the equivalent point (ml), $M$, the molar concentration of the titrant and $W_{dry}$ is the dry mass of the membranes [14].

2.8 Proton Conductivity Study

For proton conductivity measurement at different temperature, a polished and gold plated clean copper plate was pressed on both surfaces of the
membranes to ensure good electrical contact. A
nyquist impedance spectrum was recorded from
0.01 Hz to 1 MHz using AUTOLAB PGSTAT30
instrument. The proton conductivity was
calculated from the following Equation:
\[ \sigma(S/cm) = (t/A \times R_m) \]
where, \( t \) is the thickness of the membrane sample
(cm), \( R_m \) is the area resistance of the membrane
(\( \Omega \ cm^2 \)) and \( A \) is the area of the electrode (\( \text{cm}^2 \))
[15].

2.9 Methanol Crossover

Cyclic voltammetric system was used to estimate
the amount of crossed over methanol. In this
experiment, a two glass cell compartment
separated by the membrane was used. Before
starting the measurements, membranes were
immersed in deionised water for 24 h to attain
hydration equilibrium. Platinum foil with a
geometric surface area of 2 cm\(^2\) and smooth
platinum electrode were used as working and
counter electrode, and Ag/AgCl (saturated KCl)
electrode as the reference. The working electrode
was immersed in one compartment considered as
cathode and counter and reference electrode was
immersed in the second compartment considered
as anode. The cell was connected to BAS Epsilon
potentiostat. A blank electrolyte of 50 ml of 0.5 \( M \)
\( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) was first taken in either compartment of
the cell and C-V scanning was performed prior to
the permeability test. The permeability was
studied by introducing methanol of known
concentration in 0.5 \( M \) \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) to one
compartment of the cell and analyzing the other
compartment for its permeability. The
permeability test was performed at room
temperature for 1 M initial methanol
concentration at the anode compartment and
cyclic voltammograms was recorded between 0.2
and 1 V and from the response the concentration
of methanol in the cathode side was determined.
The concentration of crossed methanol was
determined from the calibration curve [16, 17].

3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1 Spectral Characterization

Formation of the CPS-PPEES and PS-PPEES
membrane was confirmed by IR spectroscopy.
Figure 2 shows IR spectrum of the CPS-
PPEES membrane. Figure 3 shows IR spectrum of the
PS-PPEES membrane. From the Figure 3,
following stretching frequencies were observed
3600-3200 cm\(^{-1}\) for O-H stretching vibrations,
2980-2880 cm\(^{-1}\) for asymmetric and symmetric
C-H stretching vibrations involving entire methyl
group, 1412 cm\(^{-1}\) for asymmetric C-H bending
deformation of methyl group, 1365 cm\(^{-1}\) for
symmetric C-H bending deformation of methyl
group, 1325-1298 cm\(^{-1}\) for doublet resulting from
asymmetric O=S=O stretching of sulfone group,
244 cm\(^{-1}\) for symmetric C-O-C stretching of aryl
er ether group, 1170 cm\(^{-1}\) for asymmetric O=S=O
stretching of sulfonate, 1150 cm\(^{-1}\) for symmetric
O=S=O stretching of sulfone group, 1107-1092

![Figure 2 IR spectrum of the CPS-PPEES membrane](image)

![Figure 3 IR spectrum of the PS-PPEES membrane](image)
cm\(^{-1}\) for aromatic ring vibrations, 1027 cm\(^{-1}\) for symmetric O=S=O stretching of sulfonate group. From the Figure 2, for the membrane, CPS-PPEES, gives following stretching frequencies were observed; carboxyl group was identified in 1577-1731 cm\(^{-1}\), 3600-3200 cm\(^{-1}\) for O-H stretching vibrations [9] along with above mentioned group frequencies.

### 3.2 Water Uptake, Swelling and Ion Exchange Capacity (IEC)

The water uptake, swelling and ion exchange capacity (IEC) play important roles in membrane conductivity. The water uptake of the CPS-PPEES membranes increases with increase of carboxylation concentration. This is due to the fact that the carboxylate groups are hydrophilic and hence the membranes with higher carboxylation can absorb more water [18]. From Table 3 and Table 5, it was observed that the proton conductivity of the synthesized CPS-PPEES, NF membranes increases with increased wt. % of carboxylated polysulfone. Proton transfer is enhanced by increasing the number of carboxylation. Proton conductivity of the membrane increases with increase of temperature. From the data, some increasing trend in swelling can be observed as the content of carboxylated polysulfone (CPS).

Carboxylated polysulfone (CPS) appears as hydrophilic materials compared with unmodified polysulfone. Titrations were conducted to quantitatively determine the experimental IECs. From the table it was observed that value of IEC increases with increase in water uptake and amount of CPS. These effects can be correlated to the increased hydrophilicity behaviour of the polysulfone polymer as more -COOH group facilitates more hydrogen proton conduction through the membrane matrix. From the Table 3, it is observed that membrane containing high wt % of CPS showed increase in the water uptake, swelling and IEC values. More interestingly, membrane CM1 showed IEC value which is approximately equal to the IEC value of the nafion 117 membrane (0.9 m equiv. g\(^{-1}\)) [19].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membrane Code</th>
<th>Water uptake (%)</th>
<th>Swelling (%)</th>
<th>IEC (m equiv.g(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CM1</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM2</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM3</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM4</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>07</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.3 Morphology of the Membranes

The morphology of the membranes of the polysulfone and carboxylated polysulfone/PPEES membranes were studied by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). As illustrated in Figure 4, Figure 5, Figure 6, and Figure 7, the SEM pictures showed similar dense structure for the surface area of the CPS and normal polysulfone membranes. Figure 6 and Figure 7 shows surface image of the normal polysulfone and CPS with PPEES membranes respectively. From the Figure 6, it was observed that noncarboxylated polysulfone –PPEES membrane show larger visible pores than CPS –PPEES membrane (Figure 7). It is assumed that this might be due to the presence of aggregates of carboxylic groups at the membrane surface. Figure 4 and Figure 5 shows cross section image of the CPS and normal polysulfone –PPEES membranes respectively. Cross section image of the membranes shows dense and channel-like microvoids which eases the flow of proton migration within the membrane matrix. It can be concluded that SEM study of the membranes however does not clearly signify the effects of carboxylation on the membrane structure.
3.4 Thermal Stability

The glass transition temperature (Tg) of the synthesized membranes was measured by Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) at the rate of heating 10°C/ min and values were presented in Table 4. The glass transition temperature of the membranes was detected in the range of 160°C and 300°C. It was observed that, CPS-PPEES membranes showed higher Tg values than normal polysulfone-PPEES membranes. This inclination may be due to the following fact; firstly carboxylate group may decrease the free volume of the polymer chain, secondly due to the decreased molecular interaction by the pendant ion, thirdly increased molecular bulkiness on carboxylation. Figure 8 illustrates DSC thermogram for different membranes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membrane Code</th>
<th>Tg(°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CM1</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM2</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM3</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4 Cross section image of the CM1 membrane

Figure 5 Cross section image of the M1 membrane

Figure 6 Surface image of the M1 membrane

Figure 7 Surface image of the CM1 membrane

Figure 8 DSC thermogram for different membranes
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Table 5 Proton conductivity with temperature for M1, M2, M3 and M4-PPEES membranes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membrane Code</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>80</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>1.8x10^{-2}</td>
<td>1.6x10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.9x10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.7x10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>2.2x10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.7x10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.1x10^{-3}</td>
<td>3.2x10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3</td>
<td>6.0x10^{-4}</td>
<td>5.0x10^{-4}</td>
<td>3.2x10^{-3}</td>
<td>1.8x10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4</td>
<td>7.0x10^{-4}</td>
<td>5.8x10^{-4}</td>
<td>3.3x10^{-4}</td>
<td>2.8x10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6 Proton conductivity with temperature for CM1, CM2, CM3 and M4-PPEES membranes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membrane Code</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>80</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CM1</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM2</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM3</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM4</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>0.0029</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.5 Proton Conductivity

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy was employed to determine the conductivity of the membranes. Obtained results from the proton conductivity measurement were tabulated in Table 5 and Table 6. It was observed from the study that, the membrane conductivity increased significantly with the increase in the CPS concentration. It is probably due to greater content of -COOH groups in the polymer chain, which enhances the facilitation of the proton transport within the membrane matrix. At higher temperature, water content in the membranes aggressively start facilitating proton transport resulting in higher proton conductivity. Though, the conductivity values of CPS-PPEES, which are in the range of 0.0016–0.12 S/cm, are still moderately lower than that of standard Nafion 117 membrane.

From the Table 5 and Table 6, it is understood that, CPS-PPEES membranes showed increased proton conductivity than normal polysulfone-PPEES membranes. Hence we compared former membranes conductivity with nafion 117. Membrane CM1 gave increased proton conductivity than Nafion 117 at 100°C as mentioned in Figure 10, whereas Figure 9, illustrates nayquist spectrum of the membrane CM1 at different temperature.

3.6 Methanol Crossover

A key obstacle to high performance in direct methanol fuel cells (DMFC) is the mass transfer or "crossover" of methanol through the proton
exchange membrane from the anode to cathode. Here we have used three membranes namely, CM1, CM2 and CM3 for the study of methanol crossover. Their performance was compared with nafion 117 membrane and results are presented in Figure 11. It was observed that, nafion 117 shows constant increase in methanol crossover with time. Comparatively CM1 exhibited remarkable less methanol crossover than nafion 117. This reduced methanol crossover of the CM1, CM2 and CM3 membranes, which is due to its hydrophilic nature which significantly increases retention of the methanol. Where as, relatively high hydrophobic nafion 117 eases high methanol crossover. This study undoubtedly indicates that, synthesized CPS-PPEES membranes reveal reduced methanol crossover [20].

4.0 CONCLUSIONS

Present study includes synthesis of CPS and their composite membranes with PPEES. Prepared membranes were characterized in terms of water uptake, swelling, ion exchange capacity, proton conductivity and methanol crossover. Proton conductivity and methanol crossover measurements reveal that, CPS membranes have increased proton conductivity and decreased methanol crossover. Newly synthesized membranes exhibits reduced methanol crossover as compared to nafion 117. Despite the fact that, these synthesized CPS-PPEES membranes shows lesser proton conductivity, its lower methanol crossover makes it as a potential membrane material in PEMFC.
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